14

15

16

17

25

26

27

28

1	ERIC W. SWANIS, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 006840
2	GLENN F. MEIER, ESQ.
3	Nevada Bar No. 006059
	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
4	10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
5	Telephone: (702) 792-3773
	Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
6	Email: <u>swanise@gtlaw.com</u>
	meierg@gtlaw.com
7	GA GENERALIA EGO
,	CASEY SHPALL, ESQ.
8	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
	GREGORY R. TAN, ESQ.
9	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
10	1144 15th Street, Suite 3300
	Denver, Colorado 80202
11	Telephone: 303) 572-6500
	Email: shpallc@gtlaw.com
12	tangr@gtlaw.com
12	Counsel for Defendants

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

18	Plaintiff,
19	v.
20	
21	C. R. BARD, INCORPORATED and BARD PERIPHERAL VASCULAR,
22	INCORPORATED,
23	Defendants.
24	

WILLIAM JOSEPH GIAMBRA,

CASE NO.: 2:19-cv-01580-APG-BNW

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO STAY
DISCOVERY AND PRETRIAL
DEADLINES

Plaintiffs William Joseph Giambra and William Joseph Giambra, Jr. ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. ("Defendants" and collectively with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) and (d) and LR IA 6-2, respectfully request that this Court temporarily stay discovery and pretrial deadlines until the Court rules on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Defendants' pending motion to dismiss filed on July 8, 2020. [Dkt. 50.] Plaintiffs filed their response on August 6, 2020 [Dkt. 55] and Defendants replied on August 13, 2020 [Dkt. 56]. In further support thereof, the Parties state as follows:

- 1. A district court has broad discretion over pretrial discovery rulings. Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574, 598 (1998); accord, Republic of Ecuador v. Hinchee, 741 F.3d 1185, 1188-89 (11th Cir. 2013); Thermal Design, Inc. v. Am. Soc'v of Heating, Refrigerating & Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., 755 F.3d 832, 837 (7th Cir. 2014); see also, Cook v. Kartridg Pak Co., 840 F.2d 602, 604 (8th Cir. 1988) ("A district court must be free to use and control pretrial procedure in furtherance of the orderly administration of justice.").
- Under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 26(d), a court may limit the scope of discovery or control its sequence. Britton, 523 U.S. at 598. See also, Wichita Falls Office Assocs. v. Banc One Corp., 978 F.2d 915, 918 (5th Cir. 1993) (finding that a "trial judge's decision to curtail discovery is granted great deference.").
- 3. In order to conserve both the Court's and the Parties' resources, the Parties request the Court stay discovery and all pretrial deadlines until the Court rules on the Defendants' pending motion to dismiss.
- 4. The Parties agree that the relief sought herein is necessary to handle the case in the most economical fashion yet allow sufficient time to schedule and complete discovery if necessary, consistent with the scheduling obligations of counsel. The relief sought in this Motion is not being requested for delay, but so that justice may be done.

///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27

28

Greenberg Traurig, LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89135 (702) 792-3973 (702) 792-9002 (fax)